Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	t(s)	
	09/935,209	DORMITZER ET AL	••	
	Examiner	Art Unit	1	
	Hai L. Nguyen	2816	Bu	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearance All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to and MPEP 1308.	plication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. <b>THIS</b>	
1. A This communication is responsive to the appeal brief filed	on 8/12/2004.			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-32</u> .				
3. $\boxtimes$ The drawings filed on <u>22 August 2001</u> are accepted by the	Examiner.			
4.	e been received.  been received in Application No cuments have been received in this is of this communication to file a reply of ENT of this application.  itted. Note the attached EXAMINER' best reason(s) why the oath or declarate of the submitted. Son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-6) and Amendment / Comment or in the Office of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL In Sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL In	national stage applicant complying with the recomplying attached attached fiftice action of the recomplying in the front (not the recomply).	quirements OTICE OF	
Attachment(s)				
1. ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal Page 1	atent Application (PT0	D-152)	
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat			
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date</li></ol>	8), 7. Examiner's Amendn			
of Biological Material	9.	TIMOTHY P. CALLAHA ERVISORY PATENT FXA		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04) No	tice of Allowability	CHNOLOGY-GENTER &	MINEH Male 20041016	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Argument

1. Applicant's appeal brief filed on 8/12/2004 has been received and entered in the case. Applicant's arguments with respect to the prior art rejections mailed on 04/07/2004 have been fully considered and found persuasive, as such; the prior art rejections have been withdrawn. Therefore the case is found to be in allowance condition for the reasons as set for below.

## **REASON FOR ALLOWANCE**

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art of record fails to disclose or fairly suggest specific structural limitations, as recited in claim 1, such as a phase comparator (120-1 through 120-R in instant Fig. 1) coupled to receive one of the plurality of internal logic clock signals (105); and a sample clock (SMPCLKs) from an associated receiver (150-1 through 150-R), wherein the phase comparator generates a control signal based on a phase comparison between the sample clock and the one of the plurality of internal logic clock signals; and a down converter channel (115-1 through 115-R) coupled to receive each of the plurality of internal logic clock signals and the control signal and to pass data (DATA) in phase with the sample clock using the one of the plurality of internal logic clock signals based on the control signal, and being configured in a clock compensation circuit (102).

The prior art of record fails to disclose or fairly suggest a method having specific steps. as recited in claim 25, such as a step of comparing (206 in instant Fig. 2) the phase of one of the plurality of internal logic clock signals (105 in instant Fig. 1) to the phase of the received sample Art Unit: 2816

clock (SMPCLKs); when the one of the plurality of internal logic clock signals is in phase with the received sample clock, selecting a data signal (DATA) that is clocked on the rising edge of the one of the plurality of internal logic clock signals (210), when the one of the plurality of internal logic clock signals is out of phase with the received sample clock, selecting the data that is clocked on the falling edge of the one of the plurality of internal logic clock signals (212); and passing the selected data signal to the associated receiver (150-1 through 150-R).

Claims 7, 12, 17, 21, 26, 27, and 30-32 are allowed for similar reasons, note the above discussion with regard to claims 1 and 25.

## Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai L. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1747 and Right Fax number is 571-273-1747. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1562.

HLN #// October 16 2004